

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/886,828	06/21/2001	John Joseph Curro	7897R3	8066
27752	7590 06/01/2004		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH	
	TUAL PROPERTY DIVIS			
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1221	

CINCINNATI, OH 45224 DATE MAILED 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/886,828	CURRO ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address -		
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further section by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1, condition for allowance; (2) at timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application of the common voice application of the common voice of the common voic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this X-in overex, mid-the statutory proof for reply expired ONLY OFFECK THIS BOX WHEN THE RIST REPLY WAS EXTENDED. THE PROOF OFFECK THIS BOX WHEN THE RIST REPLY WAS EXTENDED. The may be pleased under 37 CPE 1.13(6), The for have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period can under the proof of the under 37 CPE 1.17(9) is collustrated mid-th form; (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Children's filled, may reduce any exempt disect term adjustrate. See 37 C.	later than SIX MONTHS from the meilin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding ame the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	g date of the final rejection, HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP IR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension unit of the fee. The appropriate extension onglinally set in the final Office action; or		
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's     T CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	scause:			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);		
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment		
<ol> <li>The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se</li> </ol>	reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a  ∑ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 10.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on 07 May 2004 is a)	approved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
-5				

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Application No. 009/886,828

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation that the middle layer is not a meltblown layer or an elastic layer is considered to be new matter since the specification does not explicitly teach excluding these materials from the middle layer. While the disclosure does teach that the middle layer can be materials other than a multilown or elastic layer and that the middle layer does not need to be a thermoplastic material there is nothing that suggests that these materials should be excluded from the middle layer. In fact the disclosure discusses using metblown layers or elastic layers as the middle layer. Therefore, this limitation is considered to be new matter and the after final

amendment will not be entered. Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The submitted drawings are sufficient to overcome the objections to the drawings set forth in the previous Office Action.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because the Applicant's arguments were drawn to the unentered amendment.